



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

of his income, but, although this was not accurately done in the case in judgment, the allowance of six dollars per month will not be disturbed. If necessary to increase or diminish the amount in the future, it may be done under the provisions of section 2263 of the Code.

4. ALIMONY—*Charge on land—Injunction against alienation or encumbrance.* Sums decreed to be paid as alimony should be charged upon the lands of the husband, but it is error to enjoin him from disposing of or encumbering his real estate, unless the facts of the particular case show it to be necessary or proper.

KELLY v. FAIRMONT LAND COMPANY.—Decided at Wytheville, June 29, 1899.—*Rieky, J.*

1. PURCHASER—*Notice—Enquiry—Concealment—Estoppel—Case in judgment—Unrecorded deed of trust.* If a purchaser has knowledge of any fact or circumstance sufficient to put him upon enquiry as to the existence of some right or title in conflict with that which he is about to purchase, and makes the enquiry suggested by such fact or circumstances and anything detrimental to the right he is about to acquire is concealed or withheld from him, he cannot afterwards be charged with notice of it, or be affected by an undisclosed encumbrance or latent equity. In the case in judgment the vendor of real estate who had not conveyed the legal title, also held an unrecorded deed of trust from his vendee on the same land for money advanced to him. Upon enquiry by a proposed purchaser as to what was necessary for the vendee to do to acquire title he disclosed the balance of purchase money due, but said nothing about the unrecorded deed of trust. Under these circumstances the vendor is estopped to assert his deed of trust against the purchaser from the vendee.

HALL v. CALDWELL AND OTHERS.—Decided at Wytheville, June 29, 1899.—*Harrison, J.*

1. MORTGAGE—*Loan of money—Taking title to land—Knowledge of others' rights—Purchase by joint owner for redemption.* A son, having advanced for his father a sum of money to enable him to purchase a tract of land which had been sold under a deed of trust to secure a debt for which the father was primarily liable, and having taken a deed therefor in his own name, with knowledge of the fact that a third party who had no notice or knowledge of the sale by the trustee owned an undivided one-half interest in the land, and that his father owned the other half and was primarily liable for the debt for which the land was sold, will be deemed to be a mortgagee of the land to the extent of the amount so advanced, for which sum the half interest of the father is first liable. The father will be deemed to be the real purchaser for the purpose of redemption, and the original rights of the third party will be restored, subject, however, to the lien of the son for the amount advanced by him.

CAMP v. WILSON.—Decided at Wytheville, June 29, 1899.—*Keith, I. Harrison, J., dissents:*

1. CONTRACTS—*Doubtful construction—Parties' construction—Question for jury.* In a doubtful case the construction placed upon a contract by the parties will be